United States Patent and Trademark Office

FW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/005,780	11/08/2001	Jane Dashevsky	ITL.0687US (P13046)	1349	
21906 7590 05/17/2007 TROP PRUNER & HU, PC		EXAMINER			
1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750 HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631			GESESSE, TILAHUN		
HOUSTON, I	X //05/-2031		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	_		. 05/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
	10/005,780		DASHEVSKY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Tilahun B. Geses	sse	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value is period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Formula 22 Formula 22 Formula 23 Formula 24 Formula 25 Formula 25 Formula 25 Formula 26 Formula 26 Formula 26 Formula 27 Formul	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, howevill apply and will expire , cause the application to g date of this communication to g date of the graph of the	MMUNICATION ever, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI tion, even if timely filed al.	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). , may reduce any esecution as to the merits is			
Disposition of Claims	,					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consider					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) ob drawing(s) be held tion is required if th	in abeyance. See e drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal F Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phillips (US 6,748,195) in view of Leibu et al (US 6.772,048).

Claim 1,Phillips teaches a method for handling the system-wide state of a wireless device through the host controller interface firmware (see column 4, lines 37-45, column 3, lines 62-68 and figure 1, in which radio module host controller interface or HCI firmware (25) provides command interface and access to hardware status and control "system-wide" handling a uniform method of accessing the baseband capabilities.

Phillips teaches handling the state of each link with the device through the link controller carries out the base-band protocols (see column 4, lines 8-24).

Phillips does not teach link manger firmware. However, Leibu teaches wireless communication with link manager firmware (column 3, lines 5-32 and figure 3-4).

Phillips and Leibu both teach Bluetooth protocol, then, it would have been

Art Unit: 2618

obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Phillips in controlling link of the communication device using link manager firmware, as evidenced by Leibu, for controlling the wireless device link operation.

Claim 2 phillips teaches initiating communications with connection and link management (see column 4, lines 37-45, column 3, lines 62-68 and figure 1).

Claim 3, Phillips teaches establishing a connection between the device and end point (see column 4, lines 8-24 and figure 1).

Claim 4, Phillips teaches handling base band handshaking through the host controller interface firmware (column 4, lines 28-30, HCI firmware 25, provides a uniform method of accessing the base-band capabilities).

Claim 5, Phillips teaches handling logical link connection through the link manager (see column 4, lines 8-24).

Claim 6. Phillips teaches an article comprising a medium storing instructions (306 of figure 3) that enable a processor-based system handling the system-wide state of a wireless device through the host controller interface firmware (see column 4, lines 37-45, column 3, lines 62-68 and figure 1, in which radio module host controller interface or HCI firmware (25) provides command interface and access to hardware status and control "system-wide" handling a uniform method of accessing the base-band capabilities.

Phillips teaches handling the state of each link with the device through the link controller carries out the baseband protocols (see column 4, lines 8-24).

Art Unit: 2618

Phillips does not teach link manger firmware. However, Leibu teaches wireless communication with link manager firmware (column 3, lines 5-32 and figure 3-4).

Phillips and Leibu both teach Bluetooth protocol, then, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Phillips in controlling link of the communication device using link manager firmware, as evidenced by Leibu, for controlling the wireless device link operation.

Claim 7 Phillips teaches storing instructions (306 of figure 3) that enable the processor-based system to initiate communications with connection and link management (see column 4, lines 37-45, column 3, lines 62-68 and figure 1).

Claim 8 Phillips teaches storing instructions that enable the processor-based system to establish a connection between the device and an end point(see column 4, lines 8-24).

Claim 9, Phillips teaches storing instructions that enable the processor-based system to handle base band handshaking through the host controller interface firmware (column 4, lines 28-30, HCI firmware 25, provides a uniform method of accessing the base-band capabilities).

Claim10, Phillips teaches storing instructions that enable the processor-based system to handle logical link connection through the link manager see column 4, lines 8-24)..

Art Unit: 2618

Claim 11, Phillips teaches a wireless system comprising: a processor; and a storage coupled to the processor storing instructions that enable the processor handling the system-wide state of a wireless device through the host controller interface firmware (see column 4, lines 37-45, column 3, lines 62-68 and figure 1, in which radio module host controller interface or HCI firmware (25) provides command interface and access to hardware status and control "system-wide" handling a uniform method of accessing the base-band capabilities.

Phillips teaches handling the state of each link with the device through the link controller carries out the baseband protocols (see column 4, lines 8-24).

Phillips does not teach link manger firmware. However, Leibu teaches wireless communication with link manager firmware (column 3, lines 5-32 and figure 3-4).

Phillips and Leibu both teach Bluetooth protocol, then, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Phillips in controlling link of the communication device using link manager firmware, as evidenced by Leibu, for controlling the wireless device link operation.

Claim 12, Phillips teaches the storage stores instructions that enable the processor to initiate communications with connection and link management (see column 4, lines 37-45, column 3, lines 62-68 and figure 1)..

Claim 13, Phillips teaches the storage stores instructions that enable the processor to establish a connection between the system and a remote end point(see column 4, lines 8-24 and figure 1)..

Claim 14, Phillips teaches the storage stores instructions that enable the

Art Unit: 2618

processor to handle base-band handshaking through the host controller interface firmware(column 4, lines 28-30, HCl firmware 25, provides a uniform method of accessing the base-band capabilities).

Claim 15, Phillips teaches the storage stores instructions that enable the processor to handle logical link connection through the link manager firmware see column 4, lines 8-24).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tilahun B Gesesse whose telephone number is 571-272-7879. The examiner can normally be reached on flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899.

The Central FAX Number is 571-273-8300. For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number.

 Application/Control Number: 10/005,780 Page 7

Art Unit: 2618

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TG ·

May 12, 2007

TILAHUN GESESSE PRIMARY EXAMINER